

13/05/2008 - Corruption, Nazism at the Jobcentre Plus (DWP) and the Tribunals and Courts!

I was unfairly dismissed therefore I had no alternative but apply for Jobseeker allowance.

I realised that I have not received payment. The JSA was ceased unlawfully without any reason, decision, etc.

On 24 September 2007 when I went to the Jobcentre to sign on I have asked information and help regarding the stopped JSA.

The answer was, they kicked me out from the building when I asked why I did not receive payment and I clearly stated I record the conversation but of course the Nazi insects did not sort the matter out!

The Appeal Tribunal was also extremely corrupt, disgusting and primitive! The chairman is fluently lie in his Judgment to manipulate the further proceeding and clearly slandered me. The Nazi pig perverting the course of Justice

The full hearing will be available soon so everyone can hear how corrupt assholes playing with the taxpayers' life and wasting the taxpayers' money!!!

The full documentation will also available soon which clearly underpin the corruption. The Jobcentre fraudulently has spirited the evidences off from my appeal!

I lodged a Claim against the Jobcentre on the Newcastle upon Tyne County but the Nazi Corrupt Criminals has spirited the evidences and documentation away from the Court file to give unlawful preference for his protégé the DWP.

Nazism and corruption at the Jobcentre! Incident on 24.09.2007 (Part 1)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=nSOkaHAqXK4>

Nazism and corruption at the Jobcentre! Incident on 24.09.2007 (Part 2)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bF6yTO5BFYw>

The Racist under qualified Clerk of the Racist Judge who has dreamed the Law:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=frQgzbrubT0>

Corruption 1.1 (stolen doc. from the court) 13-02-2008

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZN2nxiIjua0>

Corruption 1.2 (stolen doc. from the court) 13-02-2008

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DwKiol1XdPI>

Corruption 2 (stolen doc. from the court) 14-02-2008 <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=P-ZAEM2kl9E>

Corruption 3 (stolen doc. from the court) 15-02-2008

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=diTJBrNT46k>

Corrupt Judges and Court officers A. Adams (part 1)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M2pkIbLLdM>

Corrupt Judges and Court officers A. Adams (part 2)

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GNlgbDrUcKs>

The Court file and the recordings are self explanatory and clearly prove the corruption without any doubt! Everyone will clearly hear soon when the Judge confesses that the documents are not in the court file and clearly intimidate and blackmail me not to record the hearing. Then how the Judges are make decisions. Upon base of gossips, dreams, believes and of course corruption!!!

At this point I must emphases what the Contempt of Court Act 1981 clearly saying:

2 (1) The strict liability rule applies only in relation to publications, and for this purpose "publication" includes any speech, writing (Program included in a cable programme service) or other communication in whatever form, which is addressed to the public at large or any section of the public.

2 (2) The strict liability rule applies only to a publications which creates a substantial risk that the course of justice in the proceedings in question will be seriously impeded or prejudiced.

What is the substantial risk that the Judges and Chairmen want to conceal? Corruptions, Nazism? Frauds as they lie in the Judgments?

The only reason why the British Courts unlawfully without any base prevent people to record the hearing is the Corruption and the facts as they manipulate the proceeding and perverting the course of Justice!

The Courts and their Judges are contempt the Court and dishonest the whole Jurisdiction. The Judges are committing criminal offences. Nobody can contempt lawbreaker corrupt and Nazi Courts!!!

The interpretation of the Article (10) of the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, signed at Rome on 4 November 1950 which is continually violating by the corrupt Courts!

Article 10 provides a qualified right to 'receive and impart information and ideas without interference by a public authority'.

The freedom to receive information 'prohibits a government from restricting a person from receiving information that others may wish or be willing to impart to him'

It must be open to

Argument that an applicant who is either unrepresented or at some other personal disadvantage that mitigates in favour of recording the proceedings (e.g. they have serious problems of understanding or communication) may seek to argue that their Article 10 rights have been breached if they are not allowed in these circumstances to tape record, or to have access to a tape recording of, the proceedings.

Quality of justice

?Tape recording the hearing provides a transparent recognition of the Article 10 right to impart and receive information, and a readily available means of asserting and satisfying that right.

? It addresses certain equality and equal treatment issues, e.g. it provides special safeguards to those with sensory impairment or learning difficulties, so as to ensure they have every opportunity to follow and understand the proceedings.

?Increases public confidence in the fairness of judicial proceedings, but actually improves the quality of judicial decision-making.

A fair hearing

The requirement of 'fairness' is fundamental. There must be an equal and reasonable opportunity for all parties to present a case. There should be equality of arms ie; one party should not be placed at a procedural disadvantage over the other. **A hearing within a reasonable time**

A breach may arise if a public authority fails to organise its system so as to avoid delays, for example, by providing inadequate staff and resources to hear cases in a reasonable time.

An independent and impartial tribunal

Judges or tribunal members must be free from outside pressures, and should be independent of the executive and of the parties. Impartiality is another important element of 'fairness'. The decision-makers therefore need to show that they are free of any prejudice or bias.

These are large files so please be patient!

[Evidence File 1](#) - [Evidence File 2](#) - [Evidence File 3](#)