

Mr Rhys Morton
Casework manager
Independent Police Complaints Commission (IPCC)
Eastern Business Park
Wern Fawr Lane
St Mellons
Cardiff CF3 5 EA

Your Ref 2007/012130

Dear Sir

Re - your letter dated 24 April 08

I thank you for your above letter and would comment thus on the contents –

In the second paragraph, the following two bullets, and third paragraph, you state the role of the IPCC, is not to investigate but to review.

Whether the South Wales police are the right police force to consider my complaint, and if so whether or not they should have recorded it as a complaint about the way their staff has behaved.

Therefore the IPCC cannot comment on the contents of the (sent) DVD recording taken of the alleged housing disrepair at this stage.

My reply to this is quite simple – This homemade DVD film shows part of the true evidence, along with much other documented evidence previously and on many occasions sent, that the police for over eleven years have continually unlawfully ignored. It defines criminal offences, such as fraud, deception, collusion, procurement of money under false pretences, and unlawful malicious vindictive persecution to myself, committed by Wales and West Housing Association (WWHA), their solicitors the courts as well as many others. Which is including the complete failure of the police to act on documented evidence of these many times shown criminal offences. Where I believe the law states that to know of criminal offences, and to know of these criminal offences makes one under the law an accessory. Where it can be shown from my many previous letters to the police and chief constable B Wilding, they all failed in their public duty to act on these criminal offences.

So why do the IPCC state they cannot take any notice at this stage ?

In paragraph four to eight, you state – I also note that you consider your letter of the 14 August 07 as evidence of your appeal to the IPCC of the decision of the police authority not to record your complaint against chief constable B Wilding.

However I would draw your attention to page 3 of said letter where you state: - "I therefore believe that chief constable B Wilding, has again acted unlawfully, and that her officer DCI Peter Thomas has acted in an unlawful prejudicial manner, of which I wish to make a complaint."

Therefore on receipt of this letter, and considering it's contents, the letter was treated as a 'new complaint', with your consent, to the appropriate authority to deal with the matter accordingly. We also note that during your correspondence with Mr Timothy Ashton it is clear that your letter of the 14 August 2007 was being treated as a new complaint. However there is no indication given by you, that it was in fact an appeal against the South Wales police authority's previous decision not to record your complaint.

Therefore it has been concluded that no appeal was received from you in relation to said decision within 28 days of notification from the police authority.

My reply to this is as follows – On the 14 August you were sent my recorded delivery letter in regard to complaint to the police. On the 31 August 07 Mr Timothy Ashton wrote to me with a complaint form, where he was then informed I was still collecting evidence. On the 28 November 07 Mr T Ashton again wrote to me, requiring my permission and to provide a summary of my complaint. He was again informed that my WWHA issue was still ongoing, and that I was still collecting evidence. Where due to the then Christmas period the evidence was forwarded to you in the New Year.

In your above letter you also quote the sentence in regard to this complaint of which I would make further note of here – “I therefore believe that chief constable B Wilding has again acted unlawfully, (Comma) therefore implying this is not the first instance, and that I had previously complained to you of this.

The second part of that sentence after the comma is thus – and that her officer DCI Peter Thomas has acted in an unlawful prejudicial manner, of which I wish to make a complaint”. Two separate statements, one saying again, and the other in relation to a new statement of complaint.

Where I couple this with you have been shown and commented in previous letters to me, on evidence of how chief constable B Wilding has been sent documented evidence of many criminal offences, since prior to 05 all of which she has unlawfully totally ignored ? Yet in your letters of the 12 & 13 March 08, you make no comment of my letter of the 14 August 07, and also state there was nothing on the existing file prior to 08 ? It is only now you refer to my letter of the 14 August 07, when it has been brought to your attention ?

You then state in your last paragraph that you require my consent to forward again another complaint, against the police. Which is in regard to the police not acting to the latest fraud and deception committed by WWHA. That it has been shown in evidence of how they again tried the same unlawful acts when we moved last May (07). By unlawfully threatening us with costs in regard to the way in which we left Hillside Court, where when they were informed we had much witness testimony and filmed evidence they dropped this unlawful fraudulent scenario. To unlawfully pursue their previous unlawful acts, where they are again unlawfully trying to extort, and procure money under false pretences, by deception and fraud. Of which the previous mentioned DVD film and much documented evidence clearly show these unlawful acts, of which the police have unlawfully failed to investigate for over eleven years. Which is the same procedure, of totally ignoring documented evidence, which has been carried out by them in my other issues for over eighteen years. So yes you do have my consent to proceed.

I would further advise you that due to the entire unlawful criminal acts committed and the total failure to act on these criminal act by the labour government and their departments. The following petition was placed online yesterday, at petitiononline.com - <http://www.petitiononline.com/pjrtprds/petition.html>

Which as will be seen is in regard to the present labour party not working for the good of the country people or taxpayer. Where I shall in the next few days be sending out mass mails to gain the required response to fulfil this stated lawful act. For I am only one of an ever rising many of unlawfully maliciously vindictively persecuted, that the government (including the police) has and unlawfully does not want, any lawful redress of accountability.

I await your earliest reply
Yours faithfully

P Ronald